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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept ofentire pathos edge semientire block graph of a tree $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$. We obtain some properties of this graph. We study the characterization of graphs whose entire pathos edge semientire block graphs are always planar, minimally nonouter planar, crossing number one. Further, we also establish the characterization for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{P}}$ (T) to be Hamiltonian and noneulerian.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered here are finite, undirected without loops or multiple edges. Any undefined term or notation in this paper may be found in Harary [2].

The concept of pathos of a graph G was introduced by [1] as a collection of minimum number of edge disjoints open paths whose union is G. The path number of a graph G is the number of path of pathos. Stanton [7] and Harary [2] have calculated the path number of certain classes of graphs like trees and complete graphs.

For a graph $G(p, q)$ if $B=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \cdots, u_{r} ; r \geq 2\right\}$ is a block of , then we say that point $u_{1}$ and block $B$ are incident with each other, as are $u_{2}$ and $B$ and so on. If two distinct blocks $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are incident with a common cut vertex then they are called adjacent blocks.

By a plane graph $G$ we mean embedded in the plane as opposed to a planar graph. In a plane graph $G$ let $e_{1}=u v$ be an edge. We say $e_{1}$ is adjacent to the vertices $u$ and $v$, which are also adjacent to each other. Also an edge $e_{1}$ is adjacent to the edge $e_{2}=u w$. A region of $G$ is adjacent to the vertices and edges which are on its boundary, and two regions of $G$ are adjacent if their boundaries share a common edge.

The crossing number $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{G})$ of G is the least number of intersection of pairs of edges in any embedding of G in the plane. Obviously $G$ is planar if and only if $c(G)=0$.

The edge degree of an edge $\mathrm{e}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ is the sum of degrees of the end vertices a and b . Degree of a block is the number of vertices lies on a block. Blockdegree $B_{v}$ of a vertex v is the number of blocks in which v lies. Block path is a path in which each edge in a path becomes a block. If two paths $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ contain a common cut vertex then they are adjacent paths and the pathdegree $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}$ of a vertex v is the number of paths in which v lies. Degree of a region is the number of vertices lies on a region. The regiondegree $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{v}}$ of a vertex v is the number of regions in which the vertex v lies. Pendant pathos is a
path $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of pathos having unit length.
The inner vertex number $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{G})$ of a planar graph G is the minimum number of vertices not belonging to the boundary of the exterior region in any embedding of G in the plane.

A new concept of a graph valued functions called the edge semi Entire block graph $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{G})$ of a plane graph $G$ was introduced by Venkanagouda in[8] and is defined as the graph whose vertex set is the union of set of edges, set of blocks and set of regions of $G$ in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges of $G$ are adjacent, the corresponding edges lies on the blocks, the corresponding edges lies on the region and the corresponding blocks are incident to a cut vertex.

The pathos edge semientire graph $\mathrm{P}_{e}(\mathrm{~T})$ of a tree was introduced by in [9]. The pathos edge semientire graph $\mathrm{P}_{e}(\mathrm{~T})$ of a tree T is the graph whose vertex set is the union ofset of edges, regions and the set of pathos of pathos in which two vertices are adjacent ifand only if the corresponding edges of T are adjacent, edges lies on the region and edgeslies on the path of pathos. Since the system of path of pathos for a tree T is not unique, thecorresponding pathos edge semientire graph is also not unique.

The pathos edge semientire block graph of a tree $T$ denoted by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{vb}}(\mathrm{T})$ is the graph whose vertex set is the union of the vertices, regions, blocks and path of pathos of T in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent vertices of T or vertices lie on the blocks of T or vertices lie on the regions of T or the adjacent blocks of T . Clearly the number of regions in a tree is one. This concept was introduced by Venkanagouda in [3].

The block graph $B(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the graph whose vertex set is the set of blocks of $G$ in which two vertices are adjacent if the corresponding blocks are adjacent. This graph was studied in [2].

The path graph $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T})$ of a tree is the graph whose vertex set is the set of path of pathos of T in which two vertices of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T})$ are adjacent if the corresponding path of pathos have a common vertex.

The following will be useful in the proof of our results.
Theorem 1[6]: If $G$ is a ( $p, q$ ) graph whose vertices have degree $d_{i}$ then $L(G)$ has $q$ vertices and $q_{L}$ edges where $q_{L}=-q+\frac{1}{2} \sum d_{i}^{2}$.

Theorem 2[6]: The line graph $L(G)$ of a graph $G$ has crossing number one if and only if $G$ is planar and 1 or 2 holds:

1. The maximum degree $D(G)$ is 4 and there is unique non cut vertex of degree.
2. The maximum degree $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{G})$ is 5 , every vertex of degree 4 is a cut vertex, there is a unique vertex of degree 5 and has at most 3 edges in any block.

Theorem 3[2]: A connected graph $G$ is isomorphic to its line graph if and only if it is a cycle.
Theorem 4[6]: The line graph $L(G)$ of a graph is planar if and only if $G$ is planar, $\Delta \leq 4$ and if deg $v=4$ for a vertex $v$ of $G$, then $v$ is a cut vertex.

Theorem 5[1]: A graph is planar if and only if it has no sub graph homeomorphic to $K_{5}$ or $K_{3,3}$.
Theorem 6[1]: A connected graph G is eulerian if and only if each vertex in $G$ has even degree.

Theorem 7[2]: A nontrivial graph is bipartite if and only if all its cycles are even.
Theorem 8[3]: For any planar graph G, pathos edge semientire block graph $\mathrm{PE}_{b}(G)$ whose vertices have degree $d_{i}$ has $(2 q+k+1)$ vertices and $\frac{1}{2} \sum d_{i}^{2}+\sum \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}+\sum \frac{b_{k}\left(b_{k}-1\right)}{2}$ edges, where r the number of regions, b the number of blocks $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}$ the number of edges in a block $b_{j}$, $b_{k}$ be the block degree of a cut vertex $C_{k}$ and $q_{r}$ be the number of edges region $r_{1}$.

Theorem 9 [10]: For any tree $T, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Eb}}(\mathrm{T})$ has crossing number one, if and only if T is a path $\mathrm{P}_{4}$.
Theorem 10[10]: For any tree $T, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Eb}}(\mathrm{T})$ is always non-separable.
Theorem 11[10]: For any edges $e_{i}$, in a tree $T$ with edge degree $n$ then degree of a corresponding vertex in $T_{P e}(T)$ is $n+1$.

## 1. Entire Pathos Edge Semi Entire Block Graph

We now define the following graph valued function.
Definition 2.1: The Entire pathos edge semientire block graph of a tree $T$ denoted by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is the graph whose vertex set is the union of set of edges, blocks, regions and path of pathos of T in which two vertices are adjacent if the corresponding edges of T are adjacent or corresponding blocks of T are adjacent or one corresponds to a block of T and other to the edge e of T and e lies on it , or one corresponds to a region of T and other to an edge e of T and e lies on it or one corresponds to a path of pathos of T and other to an edge e of T and e lies on it or one corresponds to the block b of T and other the path p of T and both b and p have a common edge in T. In Figure 2.1, a graph G and its total pathos edge semientire block graph are shown.


Figure 2.1

Remark 1: If a tree T is connected then $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is also connected.
Remark 2: For any tree $T, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Eb}}(\mathrm{T})$ is a spanning sub graph of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$.
Theorem 12: For any tree $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is always nonseparable.
Proof. By Theorem 10, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Eb}}(\mathrm{T})$ is nonseparable and by Remark 2, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is also non separable.
Theorem 13: If Tis a connected graph with $p$ vertices and $q$ edges whose vertices have degree $d_{i}$ and $i b_{i}$ be the number of blocks to which the edge $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ belongs in T then the entire pathos edge semientire block $\operatorname{graph} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ has $2 \mathrm{q}+\mathrm{k}+1$ vertices and $2 q+\frac{1}{2} \sum d_{i}^{2}+\sum q_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \sum b_{k}\left(b_{k}-1\right)+$ edges, where $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}$ be the number of edges in each block $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ be the block degree of a cut vertex $c_{k}$.

Proof. By the definition of $E_{P e}(T)$, the number of vertices is the union of the edges, regions, blocks and path of pathos of $T$. By the Remark 2 and by Theorem $8, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ has $2 \mathrm{q}+\mathrm{k}+1$ vertices. By the definition of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$, the number of edges in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is the sum of the edges in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Eb}}(\mathrm{T})$ and the edges of T . By Theorem 8, $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Eb}}(\mathrm{T})\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sum d_{i}^{2}+\sum \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}+\sum \frac{b_{k}\left(b_{k}-1\right)}{2}$ and the edges of pathos block graph is q. Hence $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})\right]=2 \mathrm{q}+\frac{1}{2} \Sigma d_{i}{ }^{2}+\Sigma q_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \Sigma b_{k}\left(b_{k-1}\right)$.

Theorem 14: For any tree $T, E_{P e}(T)$ is planar if and only if $T$ is $K_{1, n}, n \leq 3$.
Proof. Suppose $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is planar. Assume that T is $\mathrm{k}_{1, \mathrm{n}}$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq 4$. For the sake of simplicity, we take $\mathrm{n}=4$. By the definition of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1,4}\right)=\mathrm{K}_{4}$. Since all the edges of T lies on one region then in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$, the corresponding region vertex $r_{1}$ is adjacent for all vertices of $K_{4}$ to form a complete graph $K_{5}$. Hence $E_{P e}(T)$ contain $K_{5}$ as induced sub graph, which is nonplanar, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose $T=K_{1, n}$, for $n \leq 3$. Let $T=K_{1,3}$ then $L\left(K_{1,3}\right)=K_{3}$. Since $T$ is a tree and all the edges lies on only one region $\mathrm{r}_{1}$. In a tree T each edge is a block then by the definition of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$, each vertex $e_{1}^{\prime}$ is adjacent to the block vertices $b_{i}$ as well as the region vertex $r_{1}$. Further the pathos vertices $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is adjacent to the vertices $e_{i}{ }^{\prime} \& e_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ which are corresponds to the edges lies on path of pathos of T.Lastly, each block is an edge and is adjacent to the pathos vertices to form a planar graph. Hence $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is planar.

Theorem 15: For any tree $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}(\mathrm{T})}$ is minimally nonouterplanar if and only if $\Delta(\mathrm{T}) \leq 2$ and T has a unique vertex of degree 2 .

Proof. Suppose $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is minimally nonouterplanar assume that $\Delta(\mathrm{T})<2$. By the Theorem $14, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is outer planar, a contradiction. Thus $\Delta(T)=2$.

Assume that there exist two vertices of degree 2 in $T$ then by Theorem $9, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ee}}(\mathrm{T})$ has crossing number one which is non-planar, a contradiction. Hence T has exactly one vertex of degree 2

Conversely, suppose every vertex of T has $\leq 2$ and has a unique vertex of degree 2 , there T is $\mathrm{P}_{3}$. By the definition of timegraph $L\left(P_{3}\right)=P_{2}$. Since all edges of T lies on only one region \& it contain only one path. $\operatorname{In} E_{P e}(T) r_{1}$ is adjacent to $e_{i} \& e_{j}$ and $e_{i} \& e_{j}$ adjacent to the block $b_{i}, b_{j}$ respectively. Also $b_{i}$ and bj are adjacent to form cycle $e_{i}, e_{j}, b_{j}, b_{i} e_{i}, r_{i}$ is adjacent to $e_{i} \& e_{j}$. Further $P_{i}$ is adjacent to $e_{i} e_{j}, b_{i}, b_{j}$, clearly $p_{i}$ is the inner vertex number. Hence $I\left[E_{P e}(T)\right]=1$.

Theorem 16: For any tree $T, E_{P e}(T)$ has crossing number one if and only if $T$ is a path $P_{4}$ or $K_{1,3}\left(P_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ has crossing number one, then $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is non-planar. By the Theorem 14 we have $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}$, $\mathrm{n} \geq 4$ or $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n} \geq 4$.

We now consider the following cases.
Case 1: Assume that $T=K_{1, n}$ for $n=4$. By the definition of line graph $L\left(K_{1,4}\right)=K_{4}$. In a tree all the edges lies on only one region $r_{1}$, in $T_{E p}(T), r_{1}$ is adjacent to all vertices of $K_{4}$, which form $K_{5}$. Further each edge is a block in $T$ and all four blocks $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3} \& b_{4}$ are adjacent to each other to form a complete graph $K_{4}=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right\}$. In $E_{P e}(T)$, the inner vertex say $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ is adjacent to the corresponding vertices $e_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ which form one more crossing number. Hence $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ has crossing number at least two, a contradiction.

Case 2: Assume that $T=P_{n}$, for $n=5$ clearly $L\left(P_{5}\right)=P_{4}$. In $E_{P e}(T)$.the region vertex $r_{1}$ is adjacent to all vertices $e_{1}{ }^{\prime}, e_{2}{ }^{\prime}, e_{3}{ }^{\prime}, e_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ which corresponds to the edges $\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}$ of T and each $e_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ is adjacent to $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Since all edges lies on only one path we join the vertices $e_{i}$ 'to the pathos vertices $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Clearly its crossing number is at least two, a contradiction.

Case 3: Assume that T be a graph $\sigma=K_{1,3}\left(P_{2}\right)$. By Theorem $15, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is nonplanar. The graph $\sigma$ contains two path of pathos and their corresponding to two pathos vertices $p_{1}$ and $p_{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$. These two vertices lies in the interior region of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$. Also they have joined by the edge and gives crossing number at least two, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose $T$ is $K_{1,3}\left(P_{1}\right)$. By Theorem $15, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is planar. $\mathrm{K}_{1,3}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ contains two path of pathos $\mathrm{p}_{1} \& \mathrm{p}_{2}$ such that $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ lies in the interior region and $p_{2}$ lies in the exterior region. In $E_{P e}(T)$, two vertices joined by the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$, for $p_{1}$ and $e_{3}, e_{4}$ for $\mathrm{p}_{2}$, gives crossing number one. Hence $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ has crossing number one. Also T is $\mathrm{P}_{4}$, then by Theorem $15 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)$ is nonplanar. In a path $\mathrm{P}_{4}$, there is only one pathosvertex $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ which is adjacent to the vertices $e_{1}{ }^{\prime}, e_{2}{ }^{\prime}, e_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ which corresponds to the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ of $P_{4}$. Also $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ form $2 C_{4}$ cycles. Since $P_{4}$ contains only one region $r_{1}$ which is adjacent to all $e_{i}{ }^{\prime} \forall i$ and gives crossing number one. Hence $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)$ has crossing number one.

Theorem 17: For any tree $T, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is always noneulerian.
Proof. Let T be a non-trivial tree we consider the following cases.
Case 1: Suppose T be a path $P_{n}$. If $n=3$, both edges having edge degree odd, by Theorem 11, both vertices have even degreein $E_{P e}(T)$. ut the block vertices $b_{i}$ is adjacent to $b_{j}, e_{i}$ and $p_{1}$ to get odd degree. Hence $E_{P e}\left(P_{3}\right)$ is noneulerian. If $n$ $\geq 3$, then the internal edges having edge degree even. By Theorem 11 , the corresponding vertices in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ have odd degree. Then $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is noneulerian.

Case 2: Suppose $T$ be a star $K_{1, n}$. If $n$ is odd then each edge having edge degree even. In $E_{P e}(T)$, the corresponding vertices having degree odd, which is noneulerian. If $n$ is even then each edge having edge degree odd. In $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ the corresponding vertices $e_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ having even degree. Further each block vertices is adjacent to all the each remaining n-1 block vertices to form complete graph $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Also each block $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is adjacent to the vertices $e_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is adjacent to $b_{i}$ gives a vertex $b_{i}$ having odd degree. Hence $E_{P e}(T)$ is non eulerian.

Case 3: Suppose $T$ be any tree. By case $1,2, E_{P e}(T)$ is noneulerian. Hence $E_{P e}(T)$ is always noneulerian.
Theorem 18: For any tree $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is always Hamiltonian .
Proof. We consider the following cases.

Case 1: Suppose $T$ is a path with $\left[e_{1}, e_{2} \ldots \ldots . e_{n}\right] \in E(T)$ and $b_{1}=e_{1}, b_{2}=e_{2}, \ldots \ldots . b_{n}=e_{n}$ be the blocks of T. T has exactly one path of pathos and only one region $\mathrm{r}_{1}$. Now the vertex set of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{V}\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})\right]=\left\{e_{1}{ }^{\prime}, e_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots . e_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right\} \mathrm{U}\left[\mathrm{b}_{1}\right.$, $\left.b_{2}, \ldots \ldots b_{n}\right\} U P_{1} U r_{1}$ then by the definition of $E_{P e}(T)$, the block vertices the region vertices and the pathos vertices are adjacent to all $e_{1}{ }^{\prime}, e_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots . e_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ as shown in the figure 2.3. Clearly in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ the Hamiltonian cycle $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ $e_{n-1} e_{n-2} \ldots \ldots . e_{2} r_{1}$ exists. Hence $E_{P e}(T)$ is Hamiltonian

Case 2: Suppose T is not a path then T has at least one vertex with degree at least 3. Assume that T has exactly on one vertex V such that degree $>2$. Now we consider the following subcases of case 2 .

Sub Case 2.1: Assume that $T=K_{1, n}, n>2$ and is odd then the number of paths of pathos are $\frac{n+1}{2}$. Let $V\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})\right]=$ $\left\{e_{1}{ }^{\prime}, e_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots . e_{n}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \ldots . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \mathrm{U} \mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{U}\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2} P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right\}$ then there exist a cycle containing the vertices of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ as $\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \ldots . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{e}_{3} \ldots . P_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{r}_{1}$ and is a Hamiltonian cycle. Hence $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is Hamiltonian.

Sub Case 2.2: Assume that $T=K_{1, n} n>2$ and is even then the number of path of pathos are $n / 2$. Let $V\left[\left(E_{P e}(T)\right]=\right.$ $\left\{e_{1}{ }^{I}, e_{2}{ }^{I}, \ldots \ldots . e_{n}{ }^{I} \mathrm{~b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \mathrm{U} \mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{U}\left[\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \ldots P_{\frac{n}{2}}\right]$. By the definition of $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$, there exists a cycle containing the vertices of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ as $\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{e}_{4} \ldots \ldots \mathrm{P}_{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{e}_{3} \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{r}_{1}$ and is a Hamiltonian cycle. Hence $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is Hamiltonian.

Case 3: Suppose T is neither a path nor a star then T contains at least two vertices of degree greater than 2. Let V [ $\left.E_{P e}(T)\right]=\left\{e_{1} e_{2}{ }_{2} \ldots \ldots e_{n} b_{1} b_{2} \ldots . b_{n}\right\} U\left\{P_{1} P_{2} \ldots . P_{k}\right\} U r_{1}$. By the definition of $E_{P e}(T)$ there exist a cycle $C$ containing all the vertices of $E_{P e}(T)$ as $r_{1}, e_{1}^{\prime}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{n}, P_{1}, e_{3}^{\prime} b_{3} b_{4} e_{4}^{\prime} p_{2} \ldots \ldots . e_{n-1}{ }^{\prime} b_{n-1} p_{k} e_{n}^{\prime} r_{1}$. Hence $E_{P e}(T)$ is a Hamiltonian cycle. Clearly $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pe}}(\mathrm{T})$ is a Hamiltonian graph.


Figure 2.2

## CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we introduced the concept of the entire pathos edge semientire block graph of a tree. We characterized the graphs whose entire pathos edge semientire block graphsare planar, noneulerian, Hamiltonian and crossing number one.
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